

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today
is *not* binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

Ex parte KHOO CHRISTINA, GROSS LYNN KATHY, JEWELL DENNIS
KAREN WEDEKIND, and ZICKER STEVEN

Appeal 2007-1825
Application 10/729,450
Technology Center 1600

Decided: August 23, 2007

Before ERIC GRIMES, LORA M. GREEN, and NANCY J. LINCK,
Administrative Patent Judges.

GRIMES, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION ON APPEAL

This is an appeal under 35 U.S.C. § 134 involving claims to a composition and a method for managing diarrhea. The Examiner has rejected the claims as obvious. We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(b).

We agree with the rejections and responses to Appellants' arguments that are set out in the Examiner's Answer, and therefore adopt the Examiner's reasoning as our own. The Examiner's rejections are affirmed.

Appeal 2007-1825
Application 10/729,450

No time period for taking any subsequent action in connection with this appeal may be extended under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

AFFIRMED

dm

COLGATE-PALMOLIVE COMPANY
909 RIVER ROAD
PISCATAWAY NJ 08855